

Serial No. 10/748,624

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed, and reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112

Claim 1 was originally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Responsive to this, claim 1 is amended according to the Examiner's instruction. Therefore, it is believed that, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, should be removed and the amended claim 1 should be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, he is urged to contact Applicant's attorney at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Chen-Fa Huang

Dated: March 23, 2005.


by Alan D. Kamrath (Reg. No. 28,227)
Nikolai & Mersereau, P.A.
Attorneys for Applicant
900 Second Avenue South
Suite 820 International Centre
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3813
Telephone: (612) 392-7306
Facsimile: (612) 349-6556